

**PLANNING COMMITTEE
20 APRIL 2021
(FROM 2.00 PM TO 4.21 PM)**

PRESENT: Councillor John Mann in the Chair. Councillors Margaret Atkinson, Trevor Chapman, Jim Clark, Sid Hawke, Pat Marsh, Stuart Martin, Zoe Metcalfe, Andrew Paraskos, Nigel Simms and Robert Windass.

Late Arrivals: Councillor Robert Windass at 2.10 pm

Early Departures: None

93/20 – **APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE AND NOTIFICATION OF SUBSTITUTES:** Apologies for absence had been received from Councillor Bernard Bateman.

94/20 – **DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST:** There were no declarations of interest declared at this meeting.

95/20 – **MINUTES:** The Minutes of the meeting of the Committee held on 30 March 2021 were approved as a correct record and signed by the Chair.

(Seven Members voted for the motion and there were three abstentions.)

96/20 – **EXEMPT INFORMATION:** There were no exempt information items.

MATTERS WHICH THE COMMITTEE DEALT WITH UNDER DELEGATED POWERS

97/20 – **LIST OF PLANS:** In accordance with the Scheme of Delegation, the Committee made the following decisions:

97/20(01)

**CASE NUMBER: 20/01556/FULMAJ
GRID REF: EAST 431533 NORTH 455850**

APPLICATION NO.: 6.79.14329.FULMAJ

LOCATION:

Land Comprising Field At 431533 455850 Harrogate North Yorkshire

PROPOSAL:

Proposed residential development of 95 dwellings including access road, Public Open Space, Surface water attenuation and other associated infrastructure.
(Amended plans)

APPLICANT: Redrow Homes and Richborough Estates

DEFERRED and the Executive Officer for Development Management and Building Control be authorised to APPROVE the application subject to the following conditions and such amendments to these conditions and other conditions the EO-

PLANNING COMMITTEE

DMBC considers to be necessary. REASON FOR DEFERRAL:

- 0 To Defer to the Executive Officer for Development Management and Building Control and Approve subject to conditions, the cycleway and private road be the subject of adoption through a section 38 agreement and a S106 Agreement.

Councillor Tim Myatt (Ward Member for Harrogate High Harrogate), Richard Clark, Dave Hutcheon (Objectors) and Mike Ashworth (Applicant/Agent) attended the meeting and spoke to the item under the Council's Opportunity to Speak Scheme.

The officer's recommendations that the application be 'deferred and approved subject to conditions and a S106 Agreement' were moved and seconded. Councillor Nigel Simms had proposed an amendment to the officer's recommendations by adding a condition requiring the cycleway and private road be the subject of adoption through a section 38 agreement. On a vote being taken seven Members voted for the amended officer's recommendations and four Members voted against therefore the amended officer's recommendations were approved.

97/20(02)

**CASE NUMBER: 16/03847/FUL
GRID REF: EAST 431015 NORTH 471282**

APPLICATION NO.: 6.31.2695.FUL

LOCATION:

5 Park Street Ripon North Yorkshire HG4 2AX

PROPOSAL:

Conversion of single dwelling to 3 No. flats.

APPLICANT: Mr I Pringle

REFUSED. Reason(s) for refusal:-

- 1 By removing the original staircase the proposed development would cause substantial harm to this designated heritage asset, a Grade II listed building, contrary to Local Plan Policy HP2. There are no substantial public benefits which would outweigh this harm and the proposed development fails this, and the other tests set out in paragraph 195 of the NPPF.

Ian Pringle (Applicant) attended the meeting and spoke to the item under the Council's Opportunity to Speak Scheme.

The officer's recommendations that the application be 'refused' were moved and seconded. On a vote being taken ten Members voted for the officer's recommendations and one Member voted against therefore the officer's recommendations were approved.

PLANNING COMMITTEE

97/20(01)

CASE NUMBER: 16/03848/LB
GRID REF: EAST 431015 NORTH 471282

APPLICATION NO.: 6.31.2695.A.LB

LOCATION:

5 Park Street Ripon North Yorkshire HG4 2AX

PROPOSAL:

Listed building application for conversion of existing dwelling into 3 flats.

APPLICANT: Mr I Pringle

REFUSED. Reason(s) for refusal:-

- 1 By removing the original staircase, the proposed development would cause substantial harm to this designated heritage asset, a Grade II listed building, contrary to Local Plan Policy HP2. There are no substantial public benefits which would outweigh this harm and the proposed development fails this, and the other tests set out in paragraph 195 of the NPPF.

The officer's recommendations that the application be 'refused' were moved and seconded. On a vote being taken Members voted unanimously for the officer's recommendations therefore the officer's recommendations were approved.

98/20 – **APPLICATIONS DETERMINED UNDER THE PLANNING SCHEME OF**

DELEGATION: The Executive Officer – Development Management and Building Control submitted a list of planning applications determined under delegated powers, and also those delegated to himself in consultation with the Chair and Vice Chair of the Committee, which had been approved since the last meeting.

RESOLVED UNANIMOUSLY:

That the report be received.

(D)